# 1

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

11

1213

14

15 16

San

17

18

19 20

21

2324

22

25

26

27

28

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNIVERSAL TRADING & INVESTMENT CO,

No. C-99-03073 MMC (EDL)

Plaintiff,

ORDER RE DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO LIFT ATTACHMENT

KIRITCHENKO ET AL,

Defendant.

Defendant's Motion to Release Attachment on the property at 1901 Jackson Street No. 1,

Francisco, California (Assessor's Parcel Nos. 5-601-21) came on for hearing on April 3, 2007. Following the hearing, the Court ordered Defendant to file a copy of its appraisal of the property; disclose all terms and conditions of the sale, including any fees or commissions to be paid out of the sales proceeds; and, in the event Plaintiff sought its own appraisal, to cooperate in permitting Plaintiff's appraiser to inspect the property. The Court ordered Plaintiff to file objections to Defendant's appraisal, if any, and to file for the Court's review a copy of Plaintiff's appraisal, if completed. Plaintiff filed objections and an assessment of Defendant's appraisal on April 12, 2007, and requested further time to complete a full appraisal. Defendant filed a response to Plaintiff's submission on April 16, 2007. Upon full consideration of the submissions and Plaintiff's objections, the Court ORDERS the following:

Defendant's response alleviates to some degree the Court's concerns that funds are being diverted to family members in the form of commissions. However, the response leaves open the question whether Defendant's appraisal undervalues the property and whether the proposed sale falls short of fair market value. For example, the price per square foot of the property in question was

//

appraised at approximately one half or one third of the price per square foot of the purported comparables. The Court is not persuaded at this point that Defendant's appraisal is adequately supported. Accordingly, the attachment will not be lifted and the sale may not proceed at this time.

Defendant has requested the opportunity to submit a rebuttal to Plaintiff's critique of Defendant's appraisal. The Court grants this request and orders Defendant to submit its rebuttal for the Court's review by Thursday, April 19, 2007. The Court recommends that Defendant also submit the appraisal completed by the buyer of the property, as part of its rebuttal or separately, which the parties may seek to obtain either voluntarily or by subpoena, if necessary. The Court will be receptive to a request to file the buyer's appraisal under seal, limiting its disclosure to counsel for purposes of this motion only, or to a request by the buyer to limit disclosure to the Court for an in camera review.

If the Court is satisfied with Defendant's rebuttal, the Court will order the attachment lifted at that time. If, on the other hand, the Court still has reservations after reviewing Defendant's rebuttal, and Defendant wishes to pursue the sale, the Court will order a further appraisal of the property. In that event, the Court will order Plaintiff and Defendant to immediately meet and confer to identify a neutral, mutually acceptable appraiser to perform an appraisal of the property to determine current fair market value at Defendant's expense. If the parties cannot identify a mutually acceptable choice within three calendar days of initiating their meet and confer, the parties are ordered to separately submit three acceptable choices each, complete with credentials, from which the Court will select a neutral appraiser to prepare the appraisal. The parties shall submit their joint or separate list of proposed appraisers within four calendar days of either Defendant's early initiation of the process or the Court's order requiring a further appraisal. Defendant shall inform Plaintiff and the Court within two calendar days of this order if, in the interests of expediting resolution of this matter, Defendant chooses to begin this further appraisal process immediately, rather than waiting for the Court's decision.

## 

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

If the Court requires a further appraisal, Defendant may reapply after completion of the
appraisal to lift the attachment to sell the property for a price at or near the fair market value as
determined by the neutral appraiser. Alternatively, Defendant may offer the property on the
common open market for a competitive sale, but if Plaintiff raises apparently valid objections to any
proposed sale, the sale may be subject to the same appraisal procedure.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 17, 2007

Elizabeth D. Laporte

ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE United States Magistrate Judge